



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/868,215	06/14/2001	Monika Junghans	WFG/12544	6039
7609	7590	01/05/2004	EXAMINER	
RANKIN, HILL, PORTER & CLARK, LLP 700 HUNTINGTON BUILDING 925 EUCLID AVENUE, SUITE 700 CLEVELAND, OH 44115-1405			SHEINBERG, MONIKA B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/868,215	JUNGHANS ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit	
Monika B Sheinberg	1634	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 01 December 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
 ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.

2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:

- (a) they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: _____.

3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.

4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).

5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.

6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.

7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.


Claim(s) rejected: 28-30,32,33,35-40 and 43-48.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The drawing correction filed on _____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.

10. Other: See Continuation Sheet


 Jeannine S. Hon
 Primary Examiner
 92 18/29/03

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The request for reconsideration will not be considered because good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented have not been shown. Applicants present new arguments as to how the 35 USC 103 references teach away from the instant application and therefore are not obviating the claimed invention. Previous arguments were directed instead to the following subject matter: toxicity tolerance (Wolfert et al.), chaotropic agents (Hanson et al.), arginine use (Zobel) and DNA/cell binding agent porportions (Wu et al.). The new arguments that include new citations and new analysis that could have been presented earlier are directed to the subject matter of block copolymers of Wolfert et al. and the target cell binding moieties of Hanson et al. and Wu et al. As such the request for reconsideration will not be considered because the new arguments directed to new subject matter have no good or sufficient reasons as to why they were not presented earlier. (See MPEP 714.12, 37 CFR 1.116)

Continuation of 10. Other: The proposed amendment(s) will be entered due to the claims being amended merely to incorporate dependent claims into the independent claims; for example claim 31 was canceled and inserted into claim 28. However the claims are no deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.